IGEM 2024 GRAND JAMBOREE

Responsibility CONFERENCE 2024

Synthesis Screening: The Future of Writing and Hacking DNA

October 24, 2024







Synthesis Screening: Introduction

Tessa Alexanian

Tech Lead, International Biosecurity and Biosafety Initiative for Science



It's easier than ever to read, write and edit DNA & RNA

Decreasing cost and increasing length



Custom Mail-Order

Benchtop Printers





Synthetic DNA could be accidentally or deliberately misused

Acquisition: from a digital sequence to functional pathogen



Engineering: more people able to engineer pathogens and toxins







How do we balance access and security?

1. Recognize potentially risky sequences toxins, pathogen genomes, virulence factors



2. Decide whether to trust user or customer with risky sequences by screening legitimacy





How do we balance access and security?

Sequence Screening

Customer Screening







Why are we talking about screening right now?

Changing risk landscape: Al tools, long synthesis, biofoundries, benchtop printers



New standards, tools and regulations changing incentives around screening



Images: Kilobaser, Counter Culture Labs



Predictions | Accessibility | By what year do you think this will exist?

Synthesis of 10kb of custom DNA available for <1 cent/base

Slido poll; range of 2025-2040

58% of respondents predict 2028 - 2030





Predictions | Accessibility | By what year do you think this will exist?

A benchtop synthesizer that can make fragments >50bp without needing proprietary reagents or highly skilled operators

Slido poll; range of already exists - 2040

45% of respondents say this already exists





Predictions | AI-Bio | By what year do you think this will exist?

Generative AI design of enzyme variants where >80% preserve catalytic activity while having <10% sequence identity to any natural protein

Slido poll; range of already exists - after 2040

Little consensus among participants





Predictions | AI-Bio | By what year do you think this will exist?

A replicationcompetent virus designed entirely in silico with <50% sequence identity to any natural virus

Slido poll; range of already exists - after 2040

55% of respondents predict 2028-2030





Predictions | Accessibility | By what year do you think this will exist?

Regulations requiring or strongly incentivising synthesis screening in at least 3 of the China, EU, India, UK, USA

Slido poll; range of already exists to 2034

Agreement that this will happen in the next decade







Synthesis Screening: Panel Discussion

The Future of Writing and Hacking DNA

Jake Beal, Adam Clore, Shrestha Rath, Nikki Teran Moderator: Sophie Peresson





Jake Beal

Engineering Fellow (RTX BBN)



2024 GRAND JAMBOREE

Responsibility

Nikki Teran

Founder (Scientific Legitimacy Verification)



Adam Clore

Technical Director of Synthetic Biology (IDT)



Shrestha Rath

PhD Fellow (Johns Hopkins Public Health)



Sophie Peresson

Biosecurity Expert (Sciences Po)





Synthesis Screening: The Future of Writing and Hacking DNA

Al is less of a threat than we fear, because it can't change biochemistry.





Engineering Fellow (RTX BBN)





Responsibility

Scientists must proactively implement biosecurity tools. If **government acts first it will likely be too much and too late**, a restrictive overreaction after something bad has already happened.



Nikki Teran

Founder (Scientific Legitimacy Verification)





Synthesis Screening: The Future of Writing and Hacking DNA

Accurate and transparent reporting by the media is a stronger incentive for synthesis screening than any fines or penalties imposed by law.



Adam Clore

Technical Director of Synthetic Biology (IDT)





We must shift from democratizing biotech to **democratizing biosecurity**. Shared responsibility means local responsibility. Different bioeconomies need to own synthesis screening.



Responsibility

Shrestha Rath

PhD Fellow (Johns Hopkins Public Health)



Jake Beal

Engineering Fellow (RTX BBN)



2024 GRAND JAMBOREE

Responsibility

Nikki Teran

Founder (Scientific Legitimacy Verification)



Adam Clore

Technical Director of Synthetic Biology (IDT)



Shrestha Rath

PhD Fellow (Johns Hopkins Public Health)



Sophie Peresson

Biosecurity Expert (Sciences Po)





Synthesis Screening: Order Screening Game

An interactive exercise from the International Biosecurity and Biosafety Initiative for Science

Tessa Alexanian and Nikki Teran



Each of you will play a synthesis screener and a customer.

Customer profiles based on real examples of legitimate scientists and attempted bioterror

Flagged orders (including iGEM parts!) screened using the Common Mechanism







Step one: who are you?

- 1. Read your customer profile.
- 2. Find a partner. **Do not show** them your profile!
- 3. Decide who will play the customer first. The other person will be the screener.
- 4. The customer should hand their order to the screener.





Screening Game Round 1: will you send the order to the customer?

As the customer, you want the screener to send the sequence.

As the screener, you decide to:

- 1. Fulfill the order
- 2. Deny the order
- 3. Deny and <u>report to law</u> <u>enforcement</u>





switch!

change screener and customer roles



Screening Game Round 2: will you send the order to the customer?

As the customer, you want the screener to send the sequence.

As the screener, you decide to:

- 1. Fulfill the order
- 2. Deny the order
- 3. Deny and <u>report to law</u> <u>enforcement</u>





reveal!

show your partner your card



Debrief: what do you think about synthesis screening?

Join 1-2 other pairs.

Discuss:

- What did you notice?
- What information did you wish you had?
- What systems would help with synthesis screening?









Synthesis Screening: Consensus Ahead?

Tessa Alexanian

Tech Lead, International Biosecurity and Biosafety Initiative for Science



Finding Gradients of Agreement



Read each statement, and circle your level of agreement with it.

Statement	Level of Agreement				
1. All customers for synthetic nucleic acids should be required to verify their identity (i.e. orders should not be sent to anonymous customers).	1 Strongly disagree	2 Disagree	3 Need more info	4 Agree	5 Strongly agree
2. It should be the synthesis provider's responsibility to determine whether a customer is legitimate once a sequence of concern (SOC) is flagged in an order.	1 Strongly disagree	2 Disagree	3 Need more info	4 Agree	5 Strongly agree
3. Not every sequence that poses a significant biological hazard is from an agent or toxin regulated by a government.	1 Strongly disagree	2 Disagree	3 Need more info	4 Agree	5 Strongly agree
4. Different SOCs have different risk profiles; it is appropriate to treat them differently.	1 Strongly disagree	2 Disagree	3 Need more info	4 Agree	5 Strongly agree



Read each statement, and circle your level of agreement with it.

Statement	Level of Agreement				
5. In the next 1-2 years, a shared understanding is needed of the tiers of SOC risk and how customer screening processes adapted the risk profile of each SOC.	1 Strongly disagree	2 Disagree	3 Need more info	4 Agree	5 Strongly agree
6. Sequence screening tools are or can be made sufficiently robust to AI-designed and/or obfuscated sequences.	1 Strongly disagree	2 Disagree	3 Need more info	4 Agree	5 Strongly agree
7. Red-teaming of screening providers should be conducted regularly to see if SOCs can be acquired by customers who have not proven their legitimacy.	1 Strongly disagree	2 Disagree	3 Need more info	4 Agree	5 Strongly agree



Read each statement, and circle your level of agreement with it.

Statement	Level of Agreement				
8. Providers should be required through a legal mandate or strong regulatory incentives to screen every order they receive.	1 Strongly disagree	2 Disagree	3 Need more info	4 Agree	5 Strongly agree
9. Benchtop devices should be required through a legal mandate or strong regulatory incentives to screen every sequence they produce for possible SOCs.	1 Strongly disagree	2 Disagree	3 Need more info	4 Agree	5 Strongly agree
10. Sequence and customer screening practices can and should be harmonized internationally so that screening is similar around the world.	1 Strongly disagree	2 Disagree	3 Need more info	4 Agree	5 Strongly agree



Finding Gradients of Agreement

- 1. Find a group of 4-6 people.
- On your own, circle your level of agreement with each of the 10 statements.
- 3. Once you have all finished, compare your answers. What is surprising?









Synthesis Screening: get in touch!

screening@ibbis.bio



